

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/724,650	12/01/2003	Zer Kai Yap	TEC1327	2316
832	7590 05/26/2005	EXAMINER		INER
BAKER &	DANIELS LLP	JIANG, CHEN WEN		
111 E. WAY SUITE 800	NE STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FORT WAY	NE, IN 46802	3744		
,				

DATE MAILED: 05/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				(<u></u>				
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/724,65	50	YAP, ZER 1	KA I				
		Examiner	,	Art Unit					
		Chen-Wei	n Jiang	3744	:				
The MAILING DATE of a	his communication ap	pears on the	cover sheet wi	th the corresponden	ce address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS - Extensions of time may be available und after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing - If the period for reply specified above is - If NO period for reply is specified above - Failure to reply within the set or extende Any reply received by the Office later the earned patent term adjustment. See 37	communication. er the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 date of this communication. less than thirty (30) days, a repi the maximum statutory period d period for reply will, by statute an three months after the mailin	136(a). In no evo ly within the stat will apply and w e, cause the app	ent, however, may a re utory minimum of thirt ill expire SIX (6) MON lication to become AB	eply be timely filed ((30) days will be considere THS from the mailing date of ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 13	of this communication. 33).				
Status					,				
1) Responsive to commun	cation(s) filed on 14 F	ebruary 20	<u>95</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .									
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4a) Of the above claim(s 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are al 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are reje 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are ol	4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers		:							
9) The specification is object 10) The drawing(s) filed on an applicant may not request Replacement drawing sheet 11) The oath or declaration in	11 December 2003 is/a that any objection to the et(s) including the correc	are: a)⊠ a e drawing(s) b etion is requir	oe held in abeyan ed if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85 s) is objected to. See	5(a). 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment(s)									
Notice of References Cited (PTO-89 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra Information Disclosure Statement(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	wing Review (PTO-948))	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Applicatio 	n (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1,5,6,7,8,9,10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshida et al. (JP 04254154) in view of Teagan (US Patent Number 4,474,018) and Boardman et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,330,809).

In regard to claims 1,6 and 8, Yoshida et al. disclose a heat storage device. The device comprises a water storage vessel 11, a first heat exchanger 2, a second heat exchanger 4, a first compression mechanism 1, a second compression mechanism 3, an expansion device 5, pressure reduce valve 8 and an evaporator 6. The first heat exchanger 2 is disposed between the first compression mechanism 1 and the second compression mechanism 3. The second heat exchanger 4 is disposed between the second compression mechanism 3 and the expansion device 5. Yoshida et al. disclose the direct heat transfer between the water storage and the refrigerant. However, Yoshida et al. do not disclose water circuit to transfer the heat and these two heat exchangers arranged in parallel. Teagan discloses a water storage vessel 6 with a water circuit 8,16 and pump 10 and Boardman et al. disclose two heat exchangers arranged in parallel in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of effective transfer heat. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the apparatus of Yoshida et al. with a water storage vessel with a water circuit in view of Teagan and

Art Unit: 3744

Boardman et al. so as to provide effective transfer heat. In regard to claim 5, carbon dioxide is a well-known refrigerant and the refrigerant condition has no impact in the apparatus claim. In regard to claim 7, the arrangement of each component is a design choice and not patentable. In regard to claims 9,10 and 12, under the principals of inherency, if a prior art device, in its normal and usual operation, would necessarily perform the method claimed, then the method claimed will be considered to be anticipated by the prior art device. When the prior art device is the same as a device described in the specification for carrying out the claimed method, it can be assumed the device will inherently perform the claimed process. *Ir re King*, 801 F.2d 1324, 231 USPQ 136 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

3. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshida et al. (JP 04254154) in view of Teagan (U.S. Patent Number 4,474,018).

The addition of duplicate parts to the apparatus is not the type of innovation for a patent to be granted. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., Inc., 193 USPQ 8, 11 (7th Cir. 1977).

4. Claims 2 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshida et al. and Teagan as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Thompson (U.S. Patent Number 6,729,151 equivalent to WO 01/22011 (3/29/2001)).

Yoshida et al. and Teagan discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However,
Yoshida et al. and Teagan does not disclose refrigerant heat exchanger. Thompson discloses the
refrigerant heat exchanger in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of increase efficiency.
Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the
invention was made to provide the apparatus of Yoshida et al. and Teagan with a internal heat
exchanger in view of Thompson so as to improve efficiency.

Application/Control Number: 10/724,650

Art Unit: 3744

Conclusion

Page 4

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chen-Wen Jiang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4809. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 8:00 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/724,650

Art Unit: 3744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

Chen-Wen Jiang Primary Examiner C) 6

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 5